arXiv:1607.03342v2 [math.FA] 15 Sep 2016

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF ASYMMETRIC TRUNCATED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

CRISINA CÂMARA,[1] JOANNA JURASIK,[2] KAMILA KLIŚ-GARLICKA,[3] and MAREK PTAK [3] *

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to investigate asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators with L^2 symbols between two different model spaces given by inner functions such that one divides the other. The class of symbols corresponding to the zero operator is described. Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators are characterized in terms of operators of rank at most two, and the relations with the corresponding symbols are studied.

1. Introduction

Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space H^2, which are compositions of a multiplication operator and the orthogonal projection from L^2 onto H^2, constitute a classical topic in operator theory. In his important paper ([21]) Sarason explored truncated Toeplitz operators, thus generating huge interest in this class of operators; see, for example [3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15]. Instead of the Hardy space H^2, they act on a model space $K^2_\theta = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$ associated with a given nonconstant inner function θ and a multiplication operator is composed with the orthogonal projection from H^2 onto K^2_θ.

This work was inspired, on the one hand, by the work of Sarason, and, on the other hand, by [7], where asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators were introduced (in the context of the Hardy space H^p of the half-plane, with $1 < p < \infty$) and studied in the case of bounded symbols. Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators involve the composition of a multiplication operator with two projections from H^2 onto a model space, associated with (possibly different) nonconstant inner functions α and θ. They are a natural generalization of rectangular Toeplitz matrices, which appear in various contexts, such as in the study of finite-time convolution equations, signal processing, control theory, probability, approximation theory, diffraction problems (see for instance [1, 2, 3, 16, 17, 20, 22]).

Here we consider bounded asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators with L^2 symbols, defined between two model spaces K^2_θ and K^2_α, where α divides θ ($\alpha \leqslant \theta$). We study various properties of these operators and their relations with the corresponding symbols, and we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded operator between two model spaces to be an asymmetric

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B35; Secondary: 30H10, 47A15.

Key words and phrases. Model space, truncated Toeplitz operator, kernel functions, conjugation.

truncated Toeplitz operator in terms of rank two operators, thus generalizing a corresponding result by Sarason for the case where $\alpha = \theta$. In the asymmetric case, however, a more complex connection between the operators and their symbols is revealed, which is not apparent when the two model spaces involved are the same.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some auxiliary results on model spaces, their direct sum decompositions, the associated projections and conjugations. In Section 3 asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators with L^2 symbols are introduced and some of their basic properties are presented. In Section 4 the class of all possible symbols for a given asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator is described, and a necessary and sufficient condition for the operator to be zero is obtained. In Sections 5 and 6 we generalize Sarason's characterization of truncated Toeplitz operators in terms of operators of rank two at most, highlighting the similarities and differences between the symmetric and the asymmetric cases and, in Section 7, we obtain conditions under which that characterization can be done in terms of a rank one operator. In Section 8 we address the inverse problem of determining a symbol for a given asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator, in terms of the action of A and its adjoint on certain reproducing kernel functions, as well as in terms of the characterizations presented in Sections 5 and 6.

2. Model spaces, conjugations and decompositions

Let L^2 denote the space $L^2(\mathbb{T}, m)$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit circle and m is the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$, and let H^2 be the Hardy space on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, identified as usual with a subspace of L^2. Similarly, $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{T}, m)$ and we denote by H^∞ the space of all analytic and bounded functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Denoting by H^2_0 the subspace consisting of all functions in H^2 which vanish at 0, we have $L^2 \ominus H^2 = \overline{H^2_0}$, and we denote by P and P^- the orthogonal projections from L^2 onto H^2 and $\overline{H^2_0}$, respectively.

With any given inner function θ we associate the so called *model space* K^2_θ, defined by $K^2_\theta = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$. We also have $K^2_\theta = H^2 \cap \theta\,\overline{H^2_0}$, and thus

$$f \in K^2_\theta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \bar{\theta} f \in \overline{H^2_0} \quad \text{and } f \in H^2. \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, if $f \in K^2_\theta$ then $\theta \bar{f} \in H^2_0$. Let P_θ be the orthogonal projection $P_\theta \colon L^2 \to K^2_\theta$. We have the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Let θ be a nonconstant inner function and let $K^2_\theta = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$ be the associated model space. Then*

(1) $P_\theta = \theta P^- \bar{\theta} P = \theta P^- \bar{\theta} - P^-$,
(2) $P_\theta f = \theta P^- \bar{\theta} f = f - \theta P \bar{\theta} f$ *for all* $f \in H^2$,
(3) $P_\theta \bar{f} = P_\theta P \bar{f} = \overline{f(0)} P_\theta 1 = \overline{f(0)}(1 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta)$ *for all* $f \in H^2$.

Model spaces are also equipped with *conjugations* (antilinear isometric involutions), which are an important tool in the study of model spaces and truncated Toeplitz operators (see for example [13, 14, 18]). For a given inner function θ,

the conjugation C_θ is defined by $C_\theta\colon L^2 \to L^2$,

$$C_\theta f(z) = \theta\overline{zf(z)}.$$

It is worth noting that C_θ preserves the space K^2_θ and maps θH^2 onto $L^2 \ominus H^2$.

Recall that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ the *kernel function* in H^2 denoted by k_λ is given by $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\overline{\lambda}z}$. Similarly, for an inner function θ, in K^2_θ the kernel function k^θ_λ is given by $k^\theta_\lambda = P_\theta k_\lambda$, i.e., $k^\theta_\lambda = k_\lambda(1 - \overline{\theta(\lambda)}\,\theta)$. The set $\{k^\theta_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is linearly dense in K^2_θ. Since $k^\theta_\lambda \in K^\infty_\theta$, where K^∞_θ denotes the subspace $H^\infty \cap K^2_\theta$, the space K^∞_θ is dense in K^2_θ (see [21]).

Defining $\tilde{k}^\theta_\lambda = C_\theta k^\theta_\lambda$, we have in particular

$$k^\theta_0(z) = 1 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta(z)\,, \quad \tilde{k}^\theta_0(z) = \bar{z}(\theta(z) - \theta(0)).$$

It is easy to see that, for all $f \in K^2_\theta$,

$$\langle f, k^\theta_0\rangle = f(0)\,, \quad \langle f, \tilde{k}^\theta_0\rangle = \overline{(C_\theta f)(0)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Now let us consider two nonconstant inner functions α and θ. If $\bar{\alpha}\theta$ is an inner function, we say that α *divides* θ and we write $\alpha \leqslant \theta$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. The following holds:*

(1) $K^2_\theta = K^2_\alpha \oplus \alpha K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$,
(2) $P_\theta = P_\alpha + \alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\bar{\alpha}$,
(3) $k^\theta_0 = k^\alpha_0 + \overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha k^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0$,
(4) $\tilde{k}^\theta_0 = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\tilde{k}^\alpha_0 + \alpha\tilde{k}^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0$,
(5) $P_\alpha k^\theta_0 = k^\alpha_0\,,\ P_\alpha\tilde{k}^\theta_0 = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\tilde{k}^\alpha_0$.

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) were proved in [12, p. 97]. We only need to prove (4), and (5) follows immediately from (3) and (4). In fact we have

$$\tilde{k}^\theta_0 = \bar{z}(\theta - \theta(0)) = \alpha\bar{z}\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha} - \bar{z}\alpha(0)\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0) = \alpha\bar{z}(\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha} - \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)) + \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\bar{z}(\alpha - \alpha(0)),$$

where $\bar{z}(\frac{\theta}{\alpha} - \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)) = \tilde{k}^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0\,,\ \bar{z}(\alpha - \alpha(0)) = \tilde{k}^\alpha_0$. □

The following proposition describes some relations between decompositions and conjugations. Note that, if $\alpha \leqslant \theta$, any $f \in K^2_\theta$ can be uniquely decomposed as $f = f_1 + \alpha f_2$ for some $f_1 \in K^2_\alpha$ and some $f_2 \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$, or as $f = f_2 + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}f_1$, for some $f_1 \in K^2_\alpha$ and some $f_2 \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$. Then the conjugation C_θ can be seen as $C_\theta\colon K^2_\theta = K^2_\alpha \oplus \alpha K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \to K^2_\theta = K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \oplus \frac{\theta}{\alpha}K^2_\alpha$, or as $C_\theta\colon K^2_\theta = K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \oplus \frac{\theta}{\alpha}K^2_\alpha \to K^2_\theta = K^2_\alpha \oplus \alpha K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$. Now we have:

Proposition 2.3. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. Then, if $f_1 \in K^2_\alpha$ and $f_2 \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$,*

(1) $C_\theta(f_1 + \alpha f_2) = C_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}f_2 + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}C_\alpha f_1$,
(2) $C_\theta(f_2 + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}f_1) = C_\alpha f_1 + \alpha C_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}f_2$.

Proof. Let $f_1 \in K^2_\alpha$, $f_2 \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$. Then $C_\theta(f_1 + \alpha f_2) = \theta\bar{z}\bar{f}_1 + \theta\bar{z}\bar{\alpha}\bar{f}_2 = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\alpha\bar{z}\bar{f}_1 + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{z}\bar{f}_2 = C_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(f_2) + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}C_\alpha(f_1)$ and $C_\theta(f_2 + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}f_1) = \theta\bar{z}\bar{f}_2 + \theta\bar{z}\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}}\bar{f}_1 = \alpha\bar{z}\bar{f}_1 + \alpha\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{z}\bar{f}_2 = C_\alpha(f_1) + \alpha C_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(f_2)$. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. We have, for $f \in K^2_\theta$,*

(1) $P_\alpha C_\theta f = C_\alpha P_\alpha(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}} f)$,
(2) $P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} C_\theta f = C_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha} f$.

Let S be the unilateral shift on the Hardy space H^2 and, for a nonconstant inner function θ, let $S_\theta = P_\theta S_{|K^2_\theta}$ be the compression of S to K^2_θ. The space K^2_θ is invariant for S^*, thus $(S_\theta)^* = S^*_{|K^2_\theta}$. Note that, for any $f \in K^2_\theta$,

$$S_\theta f = zf - \overline{(C_\theta f)(0)}\,\theta = Sf - \langle f, \tilde{k}^\theta_0\rangle\theta, \tag{2.3}$$

$$S^*_\theta f = \bar{z}(f - f(0)). \tag{2.4}$$

In particular,

$$S^*_\theta k^\theta_0 = -\overline{\theta(0)}\,\tilde{k}^\theta_0\,,\ S_\theta \tilde{k}^\theta_0 = -\theta(0)k^\theta_0. \tag{2.5}$$

The function k^θ_0 is a cyclic vector for S_θ and $\tilde{k}^\theta_0$ is a cyclic vector for S^*_θ (see [21, Lemma 2.3]). In what follows we will use the *defect operators* $I_{K^2_\theta} - S_\theta S^*_\theta = k^\theta_0 \otimes k^\theta_0$ and $I_{K^2_\theta} - S^*_\theta S_\theta = \tilde{k}^\theta_0 \otimes \tilde{k}^\theta_0$, using the notation $(x \otimes y)z = \langle z, y\rangle x$ for any x, y, z in a Hilbert space H ([21, Lemma 2.4]). We list below some simple properties that will be used later.

Proposition 2.5. *Let α and θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. Then*

(1) $P_\alpha S_\theta = S_\alpha P_\alpha$ *on* K^2_θ,
(2) $S^*_\theta P_\alpha = S^*_\alpha$ *on* K^2_α,
(3) $S^n_\theta k^\theta_0 \in K^\infty_\theta$ *for* $n \geq 0$,
(4) $(S^n_\theta)^* \tilde{k}^\theta_0 \in K^\infty_\theta$ *for* $n \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof of (1) and (2) is immediate. By [12, Theorem 9.2.2] we know that $S^n_\theta f = P_\theta S^n f$ for any $f \in K^2_\theta$, $n \geq 0$. Hence

$$S^n_\theta k^\theta_0 = P_\theta S^n k^\theta_0 = P_\theta(z^n(1 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta)) = P_\theta z^n = z^n - \theta P(\bar{\theta} z^n).$$

Since $P(\bar{\theta}z^n)$ is a polynomial, we get $S^n_\theta k^\theta_0 \in K^\infty_\theta$, which proves (3).

On the other hand,

$$(S^*_\theta)^n \tilde{k}^\theta_0 = P_\theta \bar{z}^{n+1}(\theta - \theta(0)) = \theta\bar{z}^{n+1} - P^-\theta\bar{z}^{n+1};$$

since $P^-(\theta\bar{z}^n)$ is a polynomial in $\bar{z}$, we get (4). □

3. Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators

Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions. For $\varphi \in L^2$ we define an operator $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}\colon \mathcal{D} \subset K_\theta^2 \to K_\alpha^2$, as $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} f = P_\alpha(\varphi f)$ having domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}) = \{f \in K_\theta^2 \colon \varphi f \in L^2\}$. The operator $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}$ is closed and densely defined in K_θ^2. Note that $K_\theta^\infty \subset \mathcal{D}(A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha})$. The operator $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}$ will be called *an asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator*. If this operator is bounded, then it admits a unique bounded extension to K_θ^2, $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}\colon K_\theta^2 \to K_\alpha^2$. By $\mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$ we denote the space of all bounded asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators. For $\alpha = \theta$ we will write A_φ^θ instead of $A_\varphi^{\theta,\theta}$ (such operators are called *truncated Toeplitz operators* and were studied by Sarason in [21]) and $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ instead of $\mathcal{T}(\theta,\theta)$.

It is easy to see that the following holds.

Proposition 3.1. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. Then*

$$A_{k_0^\alpha}^{\theta,\alpha} = P_\alpha = A_{\overline{k_0^\theta}}^{\theta,\alpha}.$$

If $\varphi \in L^2$, we have for all $f \in K_\alpha^\infty$

$$A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} f = A_\varphi^\alpha f.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let α, θ be any inner functions and $\varphi \in L^2$. Then*

$$\langle A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} f, g\rangle = \langle f, A_{\bar\varphi}^{\alpha,\theta} g\rangle \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}),\ g \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\bar\varphi}^{\alpha,\theta}). \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{D}(A_{\bar\varphi}^{\alpha,\theta}) = \mathcal{D}\big(\big(A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}\big)^*\big)$ and $\big(A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}\big)^* = A_{\bar\varphi}^{\alpha,\theta}$.

Proof. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\langle A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} f, g\rangle = \langle P_\alpha(\varphi f), g\rangle = \langle \varphi f, g\rangle = \int f\varphi\bar g dm$$
$$= \langle f, \bar\varphi g\rangle = \langle f, P_\theta(\bar\varphi g)\rangle = \langle f, A_{\bar\varphi}^{\alpha,\theta} g\rangle.$$

Note also that $\mathcal{D}(A_{\bar\varphi}^{\alpha,\theta}) = \{g \in K_\alpha^2 \colon \bar\varphi g \in L^2\}$. So, if $g \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\bar\varphi}^{\alpha,\theta})$, there is $h \in K_\theta^2$ such that

$$\langle A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} f, g\rangle = \langle f, h\rangle,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha})$, i.e., such that $\varphi f \in L^2$, and therefore $g \in \mathcal{D}((A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha})^*)$. In fact, taking $h = P_\theta(\bar\varphi f)$, we have $\langle A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} f, g\rangle = \langle P_\alpha(\varphi f), g\rangle = \langle \varphi f, g\rangle = \langle f, \bar\varphi g\rangle = \langle f, P_\theta(\bar\varphi g)\rangle = \langle f, h\rangle$. The converse is similarly true. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. Let $A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha}$ be an asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator with $\psi \in H^2$. Then*

$$S_\alpha A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} f = A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} S_\theta f \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in K_\theta^\infty.$$

Proof. Let $f \in K_\theta^\infty$. Then by Proposition 2.1

$$S_\alpha A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} f = S_\alpha P_\alpha(\psi f) = P_\alpha z(\psi f - \alpha P(\bar\alpha\psi f)) = P_\alpha(z\psi f),$$

since $P_\alpha(\alpha z P(\bar\alpha\psi f)) = 0$. On the other hand, taking (2.3) into account, for all $f \in K_\theta^\infty$ we have

$$A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} S_\theta f = P_\alpha \psi S_\theta f = P_\alpha \psi(zf - \langle f, \tilde k_0^\theta\rangle\theta) = P_\alpha(z\psi f),$$

since $P_\alpha(\psi\theta) = 0$. □

Remark 3.4. Theorem 3.1.16 [4] implies that for nonconstant inner functions α, θ such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$, if a bounded operator $A\colon K^2_\theta \to K^2_\alpha$ intertwines S_α, S_θ, i.e., $S_\alpha A = AS_\theta$, then $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi$ for some $\psi \in H^\infty$.

Example 3.5. One can ask, whether a similar result can be obtained for $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\psi$ with $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ and $\psi \in H^2$, but the answer is negative. For example, let $\alpha = z^2$, $\theta = z^n$, $n > 5$, $\psi = z^3$ and $f = z$. Then $S_\theta A^{\alpha,\theta}_\psi f = z^5$ but $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\psi S_\alpha f = 0$.

In the next proposition we describe the action of some (not necessarily bounded) asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators on some particular functions. These properties will be used later on.

Proposition 3.6. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$, and let $\psi \in K^2_\alpha, \chi \in K^2_\theta$, with $\chi = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\chi_\alpha$ where $\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ and $\chi_\alpha \in K^2_\alpha$. Then*

(1) $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi k^\theta_0 = \psi$,
(2) $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} k^\theta_0 = \overline{\chi(0)} k^\alpha_0 - \overline{\theta(0)}\alpha(\bar\chi_\alpha - \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)})$,
(3) $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi \tilde k^\theta_0 = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\tilde k^\alpha_0 - \theta(0)S^*_\alpha\psi = \bar z(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\alpha - \theta(0)\psi)$,
(4) $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} \tilde k^\theta_0 = P_\alpha C_\theta \chi = C_\alpha P_\alpha(\frac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}\chi) = C_\alpha\chi_\alpha$,
(5) $A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar\psi} k^\alpha_0 = \overline{\psi(0)} k^\theta_0 - \overline{\alpha(0)}(\alpha\bar\psi - \overline{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)}\,\theta)$,
(6) $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\chi k^\alpha_0 = \chi - \overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$,
(7) $A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar\psi} \tilde k^\alpha_0 = C_\alpha\psi$,
(8) $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\chi \tilde k^\alpha_0 = P_\theta C_\theta(\bar\chi\frac{\theta}{\alpha}) - \alpha(0)S^*_\theta\chi$.

Proof. (1) follows from

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi k^\theta_0 = P_\alpha(\psi - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta\psi) = \psi.$$

To prove (2) we calculate firstly

$$P_\alpha(\theta\bar\chi) = P_\alpha(\alpha\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) + P_\alpha(\alpha\bar\chi_\alpha) = P_\alpha(\alpha\bar\chi_\alpha) = \alpha(\bar\chi_\alpha - \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}).$$

Hence by Proposition 2.1 (3)

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} k^\theta_0 = P_\alpha\bar\chi - \overline{\theta(0)}P_\alpha(\theta\bar\chi) = \overline{\chi(0)}k^\alpha_0 - \overline{\theta(0)}\alpha(\bar\chi_\alpha - \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}).$$

To prove (3) note that by Proposition 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi \tilde k^\theta_0 &= P_\alpha(\psi P_\theta \tilde k^\theta_0) = P_\alpha(\psi P_\alpha \tilde k^\theta_0) + P_\alpha(\psi\alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\bar\alpha\tilde k^\theta_0) = P_\alpha(\psi P_\alpha\tilde k^\theta_0) \\ &= P_\alpha(\psi\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\tilde k^\alpha_0) = \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)P_\alpha(\psi\bar z\alpha) - \theta(0)P_\alpha(\psi\bar z) = \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\tilde k^\alpha_0 - \theta(0)S^*_\alpha\psi, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha\psi\bar z\alpha = (\alpha P^-\bar\alpha - P^-)(\psi\alpha\bar z) &= \alpha P^-(\psi\bar z) - \psi(0)\alpha(0)\bar z \\ &= \alpha\psi(0)\bar z - \psi(0)\alpha(0)\bar z = \psi(0)\tilde k^\alpha_0. \end{aligned}$$

To show (4) we calculate

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}\tilde k^\theta_0 = P_\alpha(\bar\chi\bar z(\theta - \theta(0))) = P_\alpha(\theta\bar\chi\bar z) - \theta(0)P_\alpha(\bar\chi\bar z) = P_\alpha C_\theta\chi,$$

and by Corollary 2.4

$$P_\alpha(C_\theta \chi) = C_\alpha P_\alpha \tfrac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}\chi = C_\alpha \chi_\alpha.$$

The equality in (5) follows from

$$A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar\psi} k_0^\alpha = P_\theta(\bar\psi(1-\overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha)) = \overline{\psi(0)}k_0^\theta - \overline{\alpha(0)}P_\theta(\alpha\bar\psi)$$

and

$$P_\theta(\alpha\bar\psi) = \alpha\bar\psi - \theta P(\bar\theta\alpha\bar\psi) = \alpha\bar\psi - \theta P\tfrac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}\bar\psi = \alpha\bar\psi - \theta\,\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\,\psi(0)}.$$

The equality in (6) follows from

$$A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\chi} k_0^\alpha = P_\theta(\chi(1-\overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha)) = \chi - \overline{\alpha(0)}P_\theta(\alpha\chi) = \chi - \overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}},$$

and (7) follows from

$$A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar\psi}\tilde k_0^\alpha = P_\theta(\bar\psi\bar z(\alpha-\alpha(0))) = P_\theta(\alpha\bar z\bar\psi) - \alpha(0)P_\theta(\bar z\bar\psi) = P_\theta C_\alpha\psi = C_\alpha\psi.$$

Finally (8) follows from

$$A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\chi}\tilde k_0^\alpha = P_\theta(\chi\tilde k_0^\alpha) = P_\theta(\alpha\chi\bar z) - \alpha(0)P_\theta(\bar z\chi) = P_\theta C_\theta(\bar\chi\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}) - \alpha(0)S^*_\theta\chi.$$

□

4. The symbols of zero in $\mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$

In what follows, for $f \in H^2$ let f_i, f_o denote the inner and outer factors, respectively, in an inner-outer factorization $f = f_i\, f_o$. Recall that f_i and f_o are defined up to multiplication by a constant. For α and θ nonconstant inner functions, let $GCD(\theta,\alpha)$ denote the greatest common divisor of θ and α, which is also defined up to a constant.

In this section we study the symbols for which the corresponding asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator is the zero operator. This is equivalent to the kernel of the operator being equal to K^2_θ.

We start by characterizing the kernels of some asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators. The following result generalizes the disk versions of Theorem 7.2 in [7] and Theorem 3.2 in [8].

Theorem 4.1. *Let α and θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $\varphi \in H^\infty$, $\varphi \neq 0$, with inner factor φ_i. Then*

(1) $\ker A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = K^2_\theta \cap \frac{\alpha}{\psi}H^2$ *with* $\psi = GCD(\alpha,\varphi_i)$*; in particular if* $\frac{\alpha}{\psi} \leqslant \theta$*, then* $\ker A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = \frac{\alpha}{\psi}K^2_{\frac{\theta\psi}{\alpha}}$ *;*

(2) *if* $\theta \leqslant \alpha$*, then* $\ker A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\varphi} = \ker\left(A^{\alpha,\theta}_\varphi\right)^* = K^2_{GCD(\theta,\psi)}$*, with* $\psi = GCD(\alpha,\varphi_i)$*.*

Proof. (1) Let $f \in K^2_\theta$. Note that $f \in \ker A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi$ if and only if $\varphi f \in \alpha H^2$, which is equivalent to α dividing $\varphi_i f_i$, where f_i is the inner factor of f. Since $\frac{\alpha}{\psi}$ and $\frac{\varphi_i}{\psi}$ are relatively prime, f_i is divisible by $\frac{\alpha}{\psi}$. Hence $\ker A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = \{f \in K^2_\theta : f \in \frac{\alpha}{\psi}H^2\} = K^2_\theta \cap \frac{\alpha}{\psi}H^2$. If $\frac{\alpha}{\psi} \leqslant \theta$, the result follows from the decomposition $K^2_\theta = K^2_{\frac{\alpha}{\psi}} \oplus \frac{\alpha}{\psi}K^2_{\frac{\theta\psi}{\alpha}}$.

(2) If $\theta \leqslant \alpha$, in which case $K^2_\theta \subset K^2_\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ker A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\varphi} &= \{f \in K^2_\theta \colon P_\alpha(\bar\varphi f) = 0\} = \{f \in K^2_\theta \colon P(\bar\varphi f) = 0\}\\ &= \{f \in K^2_\theta \colon \bar\varphi f \in \overline{H^2_0}\} = \{f \in K^2_\theta \colon \varphi\alpha\bar z\bar f \in \alpha H^2\}\\ &= \{f \in K^2_\theta \colon \varphi\, C_\alpha f \in \alpha H^2\} = \{f \in K^2_\theta \colon C_\alpha f \in \ker A^\alpha_\varphi = K^2_\alpha \cap \tfrac{\alpha}{\psi}H^2\}\end{aligned}$$

by (1). Now, $C_\alpha f \in K^2_\alpha \cap \frac{\alpha}{\psi}H^2$ if and only if $f \in K^2_\alpha \cap \psi\,\overline{H^2_0}$, which is equivalent to $f \in K^2_\alpha \cap K^2_\psi = K^2_\psi$. Hence $f \in K^2_\theta \cap K^2_\psi = K^2_{GCD(\theta,\psi)}$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let α and θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $\varphi \in H^2$. Assume that $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\varphi$ is an asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator. Then $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\varphi = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \theta H^2$.*

Proof. If $\varphi \in \theta H^2$ and $f \in K^\infty_\alpha$, then $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\varphi f = P_\theta(\varphi f) = P_\theta(\theta\varphi_1 f) = 0$, where $\varphi = \theta\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_1 \in H^2$. Since K^∞_α is dense in K^2_α, then $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\varphi = 0$.

For the converse implication let $\psi = GCD(\theta, \varphi_i)$. If $A^{\alpha,\theta}_\varphi = 0$ and $f \in K^\infty_\alpha$, then $\varphi f \in \theta H^2$. Hence θ divides $\varphi_i f_i$ and consequently $\frac{\varphi_i}{\psi} f_i \in \frac{\theta}{\psi}H^2$. Since $\frac{\varphi_i}{\psi}$ and $\frac{\theta}{\psi}$ are relatively prime, then $f_i \in \frac{\theta}{\psi}H^2$. By density of K^∞_α in K^2_α we have $K^2_\alpha \subset \frac{\theta}{\psi}H^2$, which is possible only if $\frac{\theta}{\psi}$ is a constant, because K^2_α is the kernel of the Toeplitz operator $T_{\bar\alpha}$ ([5, Proposition 2.4]). Indeed, kernels of Toeplitz operators are near invariant with respect to functions from $\overline{H^\infty}$ (i.e., if $g \in \ker T_{\bar\alpha}$ and $h \in H^\infty$, then $\bar h g \in H^2$ implies $\bar h g \in \ker T_{\bar\alpha}$). Hence $K^2_\alpha \subset \frac{\theta}{\psi}H^2$ implies that, for every $g_0 \in K^2_\alpha$, we have $\overline{\frac{\theta}{\psi}} g_0 = g_1 \in H^2$, so that $g_1 \in K^2_\alpha$. Repeating this reasoning for g_1 we conclude that g_0 can be indefinitely divided by $\frac{\theta}{\psi}$, which is possible only if $\frac{\theta}{\psi}$ is a constant. □

Corollary 4.3. *If $\varphi \in H^\infty$, then $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \alpha H^\infty$ and $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\varphi} = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \theta H^\infty$.*

Proof. If $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = 0$, then by the previous lemma $\bar\alpha\varphi \in H^2 \cap L^\infty = H^\infty$. Therefore $\varphi \in \alpha H^\infty$. The converse is obvious. □

The next theorem establishes a necessary and sufficient condition for a bounded asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator to be the zero operator in terms of its symbol.

Theorem 4.4. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. Let $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi \colon K^2_\theta \to K^2_\alpha$ be a bounded asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator with $\varphi \in L^2$. Then $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \alpha H^2 + \overline{\theta H^2}$.*

Proof. Let us assume that $\varphi = \alpha h_1 + \bar\theta\bar h_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in H^2$. Take $f \in K^\infty_\theta$. Then $\varphi f = \alpha h_1 f + \bar\theta \bar h_2 f$ and $P_\alpha(\alpha h_1 f) = 0$. Since $\bar\theta f \in \bar\theta K^2_\theta \subset \overline{zH^2}$, then $\bar\theta f \bar h_2 \in \overline{zH^2}$ and $P_\alpha(\bar\theta f \bar h_2) = 0$. Hence $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = 0$ on a dense subset of K^2_θ, which implies that $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = 0$.

For the converse implication let us take $\varphi = \psi + \bar\chi \in L^2$ with $\psi, \chi \in H^2$ such that $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\varphi = 0$. Note that $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi = -A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}$. Thus $A^\alpha_\psi f = A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi f = -A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} f = -A^\alpha_{\bar\chi} f$

for all $f \in K^\infty_\alpha$ by Proposition 3.1. Hence A^α_ψ commutes on K^∞_α not only with S_α but also with S^*_α, see [21] and Proposition 3.3. Therefore, since $k^\alpha_0 \in K^\infty_\alpha$, $S^*_\alpha k^\alpha_0 = -\overline{\alpha(0)}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0 \in K^\infty_\alpha$ (cf. (2.5)) and $(I_{K^2_\alpha} - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha)k^\alpha_0 \in K^\infty_\alpha$, it follows that

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi(I_{K^2_\alpha} - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha)k^\alpha_0 = (A^\alpha_\psi - S_\alpha A^\alpha_\psi S^*_\alpha)k^\alpha_0 = (A^\alpha_\psi - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha A^\alpha_\psi)k^\alpha_0 = \\ = (I_{K^2_\alpha} - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha)A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0 = (k^\alpha_0 \otimes k^\alpha_0)A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0 = \langle A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0, k^\alpha_0\rangle k^\alpha_0.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4 [21]

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi(I_{K^2_\alpha} - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha)k^\alpha_0 = A^\alpha_\psi(k^\alpha_0 \otimes k^\alpha_0)k^\alpha_0 = \\ ((A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0) \otimes k^\alpha_0)k^\alpha_0 = \langle k^\alpha_0, k^\alpha_0\rangle A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0.$$

Hence

$$\langle k^\alpha_0, k^\alpha_0\rangle A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0 = \langle A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0, k^\alpha_0\rangle k^\alpha_0,$$

and it follows that there is $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$A^\alpha_\psi k^\alpha_0 = ck^\alpha_0. \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore

$$0 = (A^\alpha_\psi - cI_{K^2_\alpha})k^\alpha_0 = P_\alpha((\psi - c)(1 - \overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha)) = P_\alpha(\psi - c),$$

which implies that $\psi - c \in \alpha H^2$.

Let us now consider $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}$. We have $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} = -A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi$ and $A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi$ intertwines S_α and S_θ on K^∞_θ by Proposition 3.3. Thus $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}S_\theta f = S_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} f$ for $f \in K^\infty_\theta$. Also $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}S^*_\theta P_\alpha = A^\alpha_{\bar\chi}S^*_\alpha P_\alpha$, since K^2_α is invariant for both S^*_θ and $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}$. Since $P_\alpha k^\theta_0 = k^\alpha_0 \in K^\infty_\alpha \subset K^\infty_\theta$ and as above $S^*_\theta P_\alpha k^\theta_0 \in K^\infty_\theta$, hence

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}(I_{K^2_\theta} - S_\theta S^*_\theta)P_\alpha k^\theta_0 = (A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}P_\alpha - S_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}S^*_\theta P_\alpha)k^\theta_0 = \\ = (A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}P_\alpha - S_\alpha A^\alpha_{\bar\chi}S^*_\alpha P_\alpha)k^\theta_0 = (A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}P_\alpha - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha A^\alpha_{\bar\chi}P_\alpha)k^\theta_0 = \\ = (I_{K^2_\alpha} - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha)(A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi})_{|K^2_\alpha}P_\alpha k^\theta_0 = (k^\alpha_0 \otimes k^\alpha_0)A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}k^\alpha_0 = \langle A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}k^\alpha_0, k^\alpha_0\rangle k^\alpha_0$$

and

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}(I_{K^2_\theta} - S_\theta S^*_\theta)P_\alpha k^\theta_0 = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}(k^\theta_0 \otimes k^\theta_0)P_\alpha k^\theta_0 = \\ = (A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}k^\theta_0 \otimes k^\theta_0)k^\alpha_0 = \langle k^\alpha_0, k^\theta_0\rangle A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}k^\theta_0.$$

It follows that $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}k^\theta_0 = c_1k^\alpha_0$. Moreover,

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} = c_1P_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha). \tag{4.2}$$

Indeed, since $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} = -A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi$, by Proposition 3.3 the operator $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}$ intertwines S_θ and S_α on K^∞_θ. By Proposition 2.5 we know that $S^n_\theta k^\theta_0 \in K^\infty_\theta$ for $n \geq 0$, thus we have

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}S^n_\theta k^\theta_0 = S^n_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}k^\theta_0 = c_1S^n_\alpha P_\alpha k^\theta_0 = c_1P_\alpha S^n_\theta k^\theta_0.$$

Equality (4.2) follows from linear density of $S^n_\theta k^\theta_0$, $n \geq 0$, in K^2_θ. Since $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} = -A^{\theta,\alpha}_\psi$, by (4.1) and (4.2) we have that $c_1 = -c$. Note that $(A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi+c})^* = A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\chi+\bar c}$ by Proposition 3.2. Using Lemma 4.2 we obtain that $A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\chi+\bar c} = 0$ if and only if $\bar\chi + c \in \overline{\theta H^2}$. Therefore $\varphi = (\psi - c) + (\bar\chi + c)$ is in $\alpha H^2 + \overline{\theta H^2}$. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ be nonconstant inner functions and let $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$. For $\varphi \in L^2$ there are functions $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$ and $\chi \in K_\theta^2$ such that $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} = A_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}^{\theta,\alpha}$. Moreover, $A_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}^{\theta,\alpha} = A_{\psi_1+\bar{\chi}_1}^{\theta,\alpha}$ iff $\psi_1 = \psi + ck_0^\alpha$, $\chi_1 = \chi - \bar{c}k_0^\theta$ for some constant c.*

Proof. Let $\varphi = \varphi_+ + \varphi_-$ with $\varphi_+ \in H^2$ and $\varphi_- \in \overline{H^2}$. Put $\psi = P_\alpha\varphi_+$ and $\chi = P_\theta\bar{\varphi}_-$. Then $\varphi - \psi - \bar{\chi} \in \alpha H^2 + \overline{\theta H^2}$. Hence $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} = A_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}^{\theta,\alpha}$ by Theorem 4.4.

The proof of the second statement is similar to the proof of the corollary on page 499 in [21]. Note firstly that by Proposition 3.1 $A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha} = A_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}^{\theta,\alpha} = A_{\psi_1+\bar{\chi}_1}^{\theta,\alpha}$. On the other hand, if $A_{\psi_1+\bar{\chi}_1}^{\theta,\alpha} = A_\varphi^{\theta,\alpha}$, then $\psi - \psi_1 + \bar{\chi} - \bar{\chi}_1 \in \alpha H^2 + \overline{\theta H^2}$. Hence $\psi - \psi_1 + \bar{\chi} - \bar{\chi}_1 = \alpha h_1 + \bar{\theta}\bar{h}_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in H^2$. Applying P_α and taking into account that $P_\alpha 1 = k_0^\alpha$, we get $\psi - \psi_1 = -P_\alpha(\bar{\chi} - \bar{\chi}_1) + P_\alpha\bar{\theta}\bar{h}_2 = -c_1 P_\alpha 1 = -c_1 k_0^\alpha$ for some constant c_1, since $P_\alpha\overline{H^2}$ contains only constant functions. Similarly, we also have that $\bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi}_1 + \chi - \chi_1 = \bar{\alpha}\bar{h}_1 + \theta h_2$ and applying P_θ we obtain analogously that $\chi - \chi_1 = c_2 k_0^\theta$. Since we must have $A_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}^{\theta,\alpha} - A_{\psi_1+\bar{\chi}_1}^{\theta,\alpha} = A_{-c_1 k_0^\alpha + \bar{c}_2 \bar{k}_0^\theta}^{\theta,\alpha} = 0$, then by Proposition 3.1 $-c_1 P_\alpha + \bar{c}_2 P_\alpha = 0$, which implies that $\bar{c}_2 = c_1$. □

The following properties can be immediately obtained from the previous results by taking adjoints.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\theta} \colon K_\alpha^2 \to K_\theta^2$, $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\theta} \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha,\theta)$, $\alpha \leqslant \theta$, $\varphi \in L^2$. Then $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\theta} = 0$ iff $\varphi \in \theta H^2 + \overline{\alpha H^2}$.*

Corollary 4.7. *Let $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\theta} \colon K_\alpha^2 \to K_\theta^2$, $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\theta} \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha,\theta)$, $\alpha \leqslant \theta$, $\varphi \in L^2$. Then there are functions $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$, $\chi \in K_\theta^2$ such that $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\theta} = A_{\bar{\psi}+\chi}^{\alpha,\theta}$.*

5. First characterization in terms of rank–two operators

In [21, Theorem 4.1] a characterization of truncated Toeplitz operators in $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ was given by using certain rank two operators defined in terms of the kernel function k_0^θ. Here we obtain an analogous result for asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators $\mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$ using the kernel functions k_0^α and k_0^θ.

Theorem 5.1. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ and let $A : K_\theta^2 \to K_\alpha^2$ be a bounded operator. Then $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$ if and only if there are $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$, $\chi \in K_\theta^2$ such that*

$$A - S_\alpha A S_\theta^* = \psi \otimes k_0^\theta + k_0^\alpha \otimes \chi. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$. Then $A = A_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}^{\theta,\alpha}$ for some $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$, $\chi \in K_\theta^2$. Note that if $f \in K_\theta^\infty$, then $S_\theta^* f \in K_\theta^\infty$ (cf. (2.4). Hence by Proposition 3.3

$$\begin{aligned}(A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} - S_\alpha A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} S_\theta^*)f &= (A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} - A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} S_\theta S_\theta^*)f \\ &= (A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha}(I_{K_\theta^2} - S_\theta S_\theta^*))f = (A_\psi^{\theta,\alpha} k_0^\theta \otimes k_0^\theta)f \\ &= (P_\alpha(\psi(1 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta)) \otimes k_0^\theta)f = (\psi \otimes k_0^\theta)f. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Also since $A^\theta_{\bar\chi}$ commutes with S^*_θ on K^∞_θ ([21, p. 498]) and by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}(A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} - S_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} S^*_\theta)f &= (A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} - S_\alpha P_\alpha A^\theta_{\bar\chi} S^*_\theta)f \\ &= (A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi} - S_\alpha P_\alpha S^*_\theta A^\theta_{\bar\chi})f = (P_\alpha A^\theta_{\bar\chi} - P_\alpha S_\theta S^*_\theta A^\theta_{\bar\chi})f \\ &= P_\alpha(I_{K^2_\theta} - S_\theta S^*_\theta)A^\theta_{\bar\chi} f = P_\alpha(k^\theta_0 \otimes k^\theta_0)A^\theta_{\bar\chi} f \\ &= ((P_\alpha k^\theta_0) \otimes (A^\theta_\chi k^\theta_0))f = (k^\alpha_0 \otimes \chi)f.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Since K^∞_θ is dense in K^2_θ, we obtain (5.1).

For the converse implication note that for $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$, $\chi \in K^2_\theta$ and for all $f \in K^\infty_\theta$, $g \in K^\infty_\alpha$, we have

$$\langle A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi} f, g\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\langle f, S^n_\theta k^\theta_0\rangle\langle S^n_\alpha \psi, g\rangle + \langle f, S^n_\theta \chi\rangle\langle S^n_\alpha k^\alpha_0, g\rangle). \tag{5.4}$$

Indeed, we obtain (5.4) as in the proof of [21, Lemma 4.2] taking $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi \in K^2_\theta$ instead of $\psi, \chi \in K^2_u$ and S_α, S^*_θ instead of S_u, S^*_u, respectively. The convergence $\langle A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi}(S^*_\theta)^{N+1} f, S^{*N+1}_\alpha g\rangle \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$ follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S^N_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi}(S^*_\theta)^N f, g\rangle &= \langle S^N_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi}(S^*_\theta)^N f, g\rangle + \langle S^N_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\bar\chi}(S^*_\theta)^N f, g\rangle \\ &= \langle (S^*_\theta)^N f, A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar\psi}(S^*_\alpha)^N g\rangle + \langle S^N_\alpha P_\alpha A^\theta_{\bar\chi}(S^*_\theta)^N f, g\rangle \\ &= \langle (S^*_\theta)^N f, (S^*_\theta)^N A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar\psi} g\rangle + \langle (S^*_\theta)^N A^\theta_{\bar\chi} f, P_\alpha (S^*_\alpha)^N g\rangle \\ &= \langle (S^*_\theta)^N f, (S^*_\theta)^N A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar\psi} g\rangle + \langle (S^*_\theta)^N A^\theta_{\bar\chi} f, (S^*_\alpha)^N g\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where the last expression tends to zero, when $N \to \infty$, by the strong convergence $(S^*)^N \to 0$.

Now assume that a bounded operator $A\colon K^2_\theta \to K^2_\alpha$ satisfies (5.1). Following the proof of Theorem 4.1 [21] we obtain

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S^n_\alpha \psi \otimes S^n_\theta k^\theta_0 + S^n_\alpha k^\alpha_0 \otimes S^n_\theta \chi), \tag{5.5}$$

taking into account that $S^{*n}_\theta \to 0$ in the strong operator topology. Hence, comparing (5.4) and (5.5), we conclude that the right hand side of (5.5) is equal to $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi}$. □

We can obtain a similar characterization for operators from $\mathcal{T}(\alpha,\theta)$ by taking adjoints in (5.1). Namely, we have:

Corollary 5.2. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ and let $A\colon K^2_\alpha \to K^2_\theta$ be a bounded operator. Then $A \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha,\theta)$ if and only if there are $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$, $\chi \in K^2_\theta$ such that*

$$A - S_\theta A S^*_\alpha = k^\theta_0 \otimes \psi + \chi \otimes k^\alpha_0. \tag{5.6}$$

6. Second characterization in terms of rank–two operators

Sarason obtained also a characterization for truncated Toeplitz operators belonging to $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ involving the function $\tilde{k}_0^\theta = C_\theta k_0^\theta$ instead of k_0^θ, by a simple application of the conjugation C_θ to the result of Theorem 5.1 in the case $\alpha = \theta$. Here we will show that an analogous result holds for operators belonging to $\mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$, $\alpha \leqslant \theta$. However, we cannot use the same reasoning for $\alpha \neq \theta$, and, as we will see, in the case of asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators the situation is more complex and, though a similar characterization is obtained, the proof is significantly more involved.

Theorem 6.1. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$, and let $A\colon K_\theta^2 \to K_\alpha^2$ be a bounded operator. Then $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$ if and only if there are $\mu \in K_\alpha^2$ and $\nu \in K_\theta^2$ such that*

$$A - S_\alpha^* A S_\theta = \mu \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \nu. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Assume firstly that $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$. By Theorem 5.1, there are $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$ and $\chi \in K_\theta^2$ such that

$$A - S_\alpha A S_\theta^* = \psi \otimes k_0^\theta + k_0^\alpha \otimes \chi.$$

Moreover, $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi + \bar{\chi}}$. Hence

$$S_\alpha^*(A - S_\alpha A S_\theta^*)S_\theta = S_\alpha^*\psi \otimes S_\theta^* k_0^\theta + S_\alpha^* k_0^\alpha \otimes S_\theta^*\chi.$$

Using $I_{K_\alpha^2} - S_\alpha^* S_\alpha = \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\alpha$ and $I_{K_\theta^2} - S_\theta^* S_\theta = \tilde{k}_0^\theta \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta$ after some calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned} A - S_\alpha^* A S_\theta = \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes A^*\tilde{k}_0^\alpha + A\tilde{k}_0^\theta \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta - \langle A\tilde{k}_0^\theta, \tilde{k}_0^\alpha\rangle \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta \\ - S_\alpha^*\psi \otimes S_\theta^* k_0^\theta - S_\alpha^* k_0^\alpha \otimes S_\theta^*\chi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Since $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$ with $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$, $\chi \in K_\theta^2$, by Proposition 3.6 (7)-(8), and taking into account that $\chi = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\chi_\alpha$, where $\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ and $\chi_\alpha \in K_\alpha^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes A^{\alpha,\theta}_{\bar{\psi}+\chi}\tilde{k}_0^\alpha &= \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes C_\alpha\psi + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes P_\theta C_\theta(\bar{\chi}\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}) - \overline{\alpha(0)}\tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes S_\theta^*\chi \\ &= \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes C_\alpha\psi + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes S^*(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) + \langle k_0^\alpha, \chi_\alpha\rangle \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta - \overline{\alpha(0)}\tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes S_\theta^*\chi. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.6 (3) and (4),

$$A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}\tilde{k}_0^\theta \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta = \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta - \theta(0)S_\alpha^*\psi \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta + C_\alpha P_\alpha(\chi\tfrac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}}) \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta.$$

Since $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$, we have $\alpha\bar{\psi} \in H_0^2$ and thus $(\alpha\bar{\psi})(0) = 0$. Hence, since $C_\alpha S_\alpha^* = S_\alpha C_\alpha$ ([21, Lemma 2.1]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\alpha^*\psi, \tilde{k}_0^\alpha\rangle &= \langle k_0^\alpha, C_\alpha S_\alpha^*\psi\rangle = \langle k_0^\alpha, S_\alpha C_\alpha\psi\rangle = \langle k_0^\alpha, S_\alpha\alpha\bar{z}\bar{\psi}\rangle \\ &= \langle k_0^\alpha, \alpha\bar{\psi}\rangle = \langle 1 - \overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha, \alpha\bar{\psi}\rangle = \langle 1, \alpha\bar{\psi}\rangle - \overline{\alpha(0)}\langle 1, \bar{\psi}\rangle = -\overline{\alpha(0)}\psi(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Proposition 3.6 (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}\tilde{k}^{\theta}_0, \tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0\rangle &= \langle \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0 - \theta(0)S^*_\alpha\psi + C_\alpha P_\alpha(\tfrac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}}\chi), \tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0\rangle \\ &= \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\|\tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0\|^2 + \theta(0)\overline{\alpha(0)}\psi(0) + \langle C_\alpha P_\alpha(\tfrac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}}\chi), \tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0\rangle \\ &= \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)(1-|\alpha(0)|^2) + \theta(0)\overline{\alpha(0)}\psi(0) + \langle C_\alpha\chi_\alpha, \tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0\rangle \\ &= \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0) + \langle k^{\alpha}_0, \chi_\alpha\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.5) we get $S^*_\alpha k^{\alpha}_0 \otimes S^*_\theta\chi = -\overline{\alpha(0)}\tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0 \otimes S^*_\theta\chi$ and $S^*_\alpha\psi \otimes S^*_\theta k^{\theta}_0 = -\theta(0)S^*_\alpha\psi \otimes \tilde{k}^{\theta}_0$. Hence the right hand side of equation (6.2), after simplification, is equal to

$$\tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0 \otimes C_\alpha\psi + \tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0 \otimes S^*(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) + C_\alpha P_\alpha(\chi\tfrac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}}) \otimes \tilde{k}^{\theta}_0,$$

which can be written as

$$\tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0 \otimes (C_\alpha\psi + S^*(\alpha\,\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}})) + C_\alpha\,\chi_\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}^{\theta}_0.$$

Note that $C_\alpha\,\chi_\alpha \in K^2_\alpha$, $C_\alpha\psi \in K^2_\alpha \subset K^2_\theta$. Moreover, $\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \in K^2_\theta$, which implies that $S^*(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) \in K^2_\theta$. Putting

$$\mu = C_\alpha\,\chi_\alpha \in K^2_\alpha \text{ and } \nu = C_\alpha\psi + S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) \in K^2_\theta \tag{6.4}$$

we obtain

$$A - S^*_\alpha A S_\theta = \mu \otimes \tilde{k}^{\theta}_0 + \tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0 \otimes \nu. \tag{6.5}$$

For the reverse implication assume that a bounded operator A satisfies the equality (6.1) for $\mu \in K^2_\alpha$, $\nu \in K^2_\theta$. Then.

$$S_\alpha A S^*_\theta - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha A S_\theta S^*_\theta = S_\alpha\mu \otimes S_\theta\tilde{k}^{\theta}_0 + S_\alpha\tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0 \otimes S_\theta\nu \tag{6.6}$$

Using $I_{K^2_\alpha} - S_\alpha S^*_\alpha = k^{\alpha}_0 \otimes k^{\alpha}_0$, $I_{K^2_\theta} - S_\theta S^*_\theta = k^{\theta}_0 \otimes k^{\theta}_0$ and (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A - S_\alpha A S^*_\theta = Ak^{\theta}_0 \otimes k^{\theta}_0 + k^{\alpha}_0 \otimes A^*k^{\alpha}_0 - \langle Ak^{\theta}_0, k^{\alpha}_0\rangle k^{\alpha}_0 \otimes k^{\theta}_0 \\ + \overline{\theta(0)}S_\alpha\mu \otimes k^{\theta}_0 + \alpha(0)k^{\alpha}_0 \otimes S_\theta\nu.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A - S_\alpha A S^*_\theta = (Ak^{\theta}_0 - \langle Ak^{\theta}_0, k^{\alpha}_0\rangle k^{\alpha}_0 + \overline{\theta(0)}S_\alpha\mu) \otimes k^{\theta}_0 + k^{\alpha}_0 \otimes (A^*k^{\alpha}_0 + \overline{\alpha(0)}S_\theta\nu),$$

which by Theorem 5.1 implies that $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$. □

By taking adjoints in (6.1) we obtain a similar characterization for operators from $\mathcal{T}(\alpha,\theta)$:

Corollary 6.2. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$, and let $A\colon K^2_\alpha \to K^2_\theta$ be a bounded operator. Then $A \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha,\theta)$ if and only if there are $\mu \in K^2_\alpha$, $\nu \in K^2_\theta$ such that*

$$A - S^*_\theta A S_\alpha = \tilde{k}^{\theta}_0 \otimes \mu + \nu \otimes \tilde{k}^{\alpha}_0. \tag{6.7}$$

It is clear that if an asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator A satisfies equation (6.1) with some μ, ν, then that equation is also satisfied if μ, ν are replaced by

$$\mu' = \mu + \bar{b}\,\tilde{k}_0^\alpha\,,\ \nu' = \nu - b\,\tilde{k}_0^\theta, \tag{6.8}$$

respectively, for any $b \in \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, it is also true that the symbol of $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}} \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$ is not unique, and by Corollary 4.5 we can replace $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi \in K^2_\theta$ by

$$\psi' = \psi + c\,k_0^\alpha \in K^2_\alpha\,,\ \chi' = \chi - \bar{c}\,k_0^\theta \in K^2_\theta, \tag{6.9}$$

respectively, for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Using (6.4), it is easy to see that the following relation between the freedom of choice of μ, ν on the one hand, and ψ, χ on the other, holds.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\mu \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\nu \in K^2_\theta$ be defined by (6.4) for given $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi \in K^2_\theta$, and let $\mu' \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\nu' \in K^2_\theta$ be defined analogously for $\psi' \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi' \in K^2_\theta$. If (6.9) holds, then*

$$\mu' = \mu - c\,\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\tilde{k}_0^\alpha\ ,\ \ \nu' = \nu + \bar{c}\,\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\tilde{k}_0^\theta.$$

The examples below illustrate the result of Theorem 6.1 in the case of Toeplitz matrices.

Example 6.4. Let us consider $\alpha = z^2$, $\theta = z^5$ and a Toeplitz operator $A = A^{z^5}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$. Assume that $\psi = a_0 + a_1 z$ and $\chi = \bar{b}_0 + \bar{b}_{-1}z + \bar{b}_{-2}z^2 + \bar{b}_{-3}z^3 + \bar{b}_{-4}z^4 = (\bar{b}_0 + \bar{b}_{-1}z + \bar{b}_{-2}z^2) + z^3(\bar{b}_{-3} + \bar{b}_{-4}z)$. Then $C_{z^2}\psi = \bar{a}_1 + \bar{a}_0 z$, $C_{z^2}P_{z^2}\bar{z}^3\chi = b_{-4} + b_{-3}z$ and $S^*(z^2(\bar{b}_0 + \bar{b}_{-1}z + \bar{b}_{-2}z^2)) = \bar{b}_0 z + \bar{b}_{-1}z^2 + \bar{b}_{-2}z^3$. Note that $A - S^*_{z^2}AS_{z^5}$ has a matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{-4} \\ a_1 & a_0 + b_0 & b_{-1} & b_{-2} & b_{-3} \end{pmatrix},$$

which can be expressed as

$$(b_{-4} + b_{-3}z) \otimes z^4 + z \otimes (\bar{a}_1 + (\bar{a}_0 + \bar{b}_0)z + \bar{b}_{-1}z^2 + \bar{b}_{-2}z^3).$$

On the other hand, let $A - S^*_{z^2}AS_{z^5}$ have a matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_0 \\ a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 + b_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which can be expressed as

$$\mu \otimes z^4 + z \otimes \nu = (b_0 + b_1 z) \otimes z^4 + z \otimes (\bar{a}_0 + \bar{a}_1 z + \bar{a}_2 z^2 + \bar{a}_3 z^3 + \bar{a}_4 z^4).$$

Note that $\nu = \nu_{z^2} + z^2\nu_{z^3} = (\bar{a}_0 + \bar{a}_1 z) + z^2(\bar{a}_2 + \bar{a}_3 z + \bar{a}_4 z^2)$. Then $\psi = C_{z^2}P_{z^2}\nu = a_1 + a_0 z$ and $\chi = \bar{a}_2 z + \bar{a}_3 z^2 + (\bar{b}_1 + \bar{a}_4)z^3 + \bar{b}_0 z^4$. Hence by Theorem 5.1 we have

$$A - S_{z^2}AS^*_{z^5} = (a_1 + a_0 z) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\bar{a}_2 z + \bar{a}_3 z^2 + (\bar{b}_1 + \bar{a}_4)z^3 + \bar{b}_0 z^4) \tag{6.10}$$

Requiring that ν_{z^3} is orthogonal to z^2 (see the proof of Theorem 8.5) determines that $a_4 = 0$.

On the other hand, we have some freedom in defining ψ and χ; namely $\psi_1 = s + a_0 z$ and $\chi_1 = \bar{t} + \bar{a}_2 z + \bar{a}_3 z^2 + (\bar{b}_1 + \bar{a}_4)z^3 + \bar{b}_0 z^4$ also satisfy (6.10) if we assume that $t + s = a_1$.

Example 6.5. Let us now take $\alpha = z^3$, $\theta = z^3((\lambda - z)/(1 - \bar{\lambda}z))^2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and consider the operator $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$, where $\psi = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi = (\bar{b}_0 + \bar{b}_1 z + \bar{b}_2 z^2 + \bar{b}_3 z^3 + \bar{b}_4 z^4)(1 - \bar{\lambda}z)^{-2} \in K^2_\theta$ (see [12, Corollary 5.7.3]). Then by Theorem 6.1 and (6.4)

$$A - S^*_\alpha A S_\theta = \mu \otimes (\lambda^2 z^2 - 2\lambda z^3 + z^4)(1 - \bar{\lambda}z)^{-2} + z^2 \otimes \nu,$$

where $\mu = b_4 + (b_3 + 2\bar{\lambda}b_4)z + (b_2 + 3\bar{\lambda}^2 b_4 + \bar{\lambda}b_3)z^2$ and $\nu = \big(\bar{a}_2 + (\bar{a}_1 - 2\bar{\lambda}\bar{a}_2)z + (\bar{b}_0 + \bar{a}_0 - 2\bar{\lambda}\bar{a}_1 + \bar{\lambda}\bar{a}_2)z^2 + (\bar{b}_1 + \bar{\lambda}^2\bar{a}_1 - 2\bar{\lambda}\bar{a}_0)z^3 + \bar{\lambda}^2\bar{a}_0 z^4\big)(1 - \bar{\lambda}z)^{-2}$.

7. Characterizations in terms of rank–one operators

Our aim now is to describe the classes of symbols of an operator $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$ for which the right hand side of (6.1) is a rank one operator. The corresponding question regarding the equation (5.1) is trivial by Corollary 4.5, since the right side of (5.1) is a rank one operator if and only if $\psi = c \cdot k^\alpha_0$ or $\chi = c \cdot k^\theta_0$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$. In the case $\alpha = \theta$ the question regarding the equality (6.1) also has an easy answer, since the relation between the symbols in (5.1) and (6.1) is $\psi = C_\theta \nu$ and $\chi = C_\theta \mu$. For $\alpha \neq \theta$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ be nonconstant inner functions and $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}} \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$. Assume that $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\chi_\alpha \in K^2_\theta = K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \oplus \frac{\theta}{\alpha} K^2_\alpha$. Then*

1. $P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\theta_0} S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = -\overline{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\|\tilde{k}^\theta_0\|^{-2}\tilde{k}^\theta_0$,
2. $P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\theta_0} C_\alpha \psi = \overline{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)}\|\tilde{k}^\theta_0\|^{-2}\tilde{k}^\theta_0$,
3. $P_\alpha S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0} S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\tilde{k}^\alpha_0$,
4. $(P_\theta - P_\alpha) S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = \alpha S^* \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$,
5. $P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0} C_\alpha P_\alpha(\chi_{\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}}}) = \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}\|\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\|^{-2}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0$,
6. $P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0} C_\alpha \psi = \overline{\psi(0)}\|\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\|^{-2}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0$.

Proof. To prove (1) it is enough to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S^*(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}), \tilde{k}^\theta_0\rangle &= \langle \bar{z}(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)), \bar{z}(\theta - \theta(0))\rangle \\ &= \langle \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\rangle - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\langle 1, \theta\rangle - \overline{\theta(0)}\langle \alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, 1\rangle + \alpha(0)\overline{\theta(0)}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) = -\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0).\end{aligned}$$

To show (2) note that by Proposition 2.2

$$\begin{aligned}\langle C_\alpha\psi, \tilde{k}^\theta_0\rangle = \langle C_\alpha\psi, P_\alpha\tilde{k}^\theta_0\rangle &= \langle C_\alpha\psi, \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\rangle \\ &= \langle C_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0, \psi\rangle = \overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\langle k^\alpha_0, \psi\rangle = \overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\ \overline{\psi(0)}.\end{aligned}$$

The equalities in (3) follow from

$$\begin{aligned}P_\alpha S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = P_\alpha(\bar{z}\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = \alpha P^-\bar{\alpha}\bar{z}\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - P^-\bar{z}\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} &= \alpha P^-\bar{z}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\bar{z} \\ &= \alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\bar{z} - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\bar{z} = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\tilde{k}^\alpha_0 = P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0} S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}).\end{aligned}$$

To see (4) it is enough to calculate

$$(P_\theta - P_\alpha)S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = \alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\bar{\alpha}S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = \alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}\bar{z}(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0))) \\ = \alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{z}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) = \alpha S^*_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = \alpha S^*\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}.$$

The proof of (5) follows from $\langle C_\alpha P_\alpha(\chi_{\frac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}}), \tilde{k}^\alpha_0\rangle = \langle k^\alpha_0, \chi_\alpha\rangle = \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}$, and to show that (6) holds note that $\langle C_\alpha\psi, \tilde{k}^\alpha_0\rangle = \langle k^\alpha_0, \psi\rangle = \overline{\psi(0)}$. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ be nonconstant inner functions and let $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi} \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$, where $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi \in K^2_\theta$. Then*

(1) $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi} - S^*_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi}S_\theta = \mu\otimes\tilde{k}^\theta_0$ *for $\mu \in K^2_\alpha$ if and only if there is $s\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi = sk^\alpha_0$, $P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\chi = -\bar{s}k^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0$,*

(2) $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi} - S^*_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi}S_\theta = \tilde{k}^\alpha_0\otimes\nu$ *for $\nu\in K^2_\theta$ if and only if $P_\alpha(\chi_{\frac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}}) = const\cdot k^\alpha_0$.*

Proof. Assume first that $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi} - S^*_\alpha A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar\chi}S_\theta = \mu\otimes\tilde{k}^\theta_0$ for $\mu\in K^2_\alpha$. Now, the right hand side of the equation (6.1) reduces to $\mu\otimes\tilde{k}^\theta_0$ if and only if $\nu = c\cdot\tilde{k}^\theta_0$ with $c\in\mathbb{C}$, which is equivalent to $\nu - P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\theta_0}\nu = 0$. Let $\chi = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\chi_\alpha \in K^2_\theta = K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\oplus\frac{\theta}{\alpha}K^2_\alpha$. By formulas (6.4) and Lemma 7.1 we thus have that

$$0 = C_\alpha\psi + S^*_\theta(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) + \overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - \overline{\psi(0)})\|k^\theta_0\|^{-2}\tilde{k}^\theta_0 \\ = \big(C_\alpha\psi + (\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) + |\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)|^2(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - \overline{\psi(0)})\|k^\theta_0\|^{-2})\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\big) \\ + \alpha(S^*_\theta\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - \overline{\psi(0)})\|k^\theta_0\|^{-2}\tilde{k}^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0). \quad (7.1)$$

Since the right hand side of (7.1) is an orthogonal sum, each term must be zero. Thus, for $s = -\overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)} - |\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)|^2(\overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)} - \psi(0))\|k^\theta_0\|^{-2}$, we get $\psi = sk^\alpha_0$. Moreover, $S^*_\theta\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = -\overline{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\big(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - \overline{\psi(0)}\big)\|k^\theta_0\|^{-2}\tilde{k}^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0$. Hence, proceeding as in (8.11), we get

$$\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)k^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0 - \overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - \overline{\psi(0)})\|k^\theta_0\|^{-2}S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\tilde{k}^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0 = -\bar{s}k^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}_0,$$

by Lemma 2.5.

The converse is immediate from (6.4). The proof of (2) is analogous. □

Remark 7.3. When the right hand side of the characterization (5.1) reduces to a rank one operator $const\cdot k^\alpha_0\otimes k^\theta_0$ it is immediate that this operator can be expressed in terms of the symbol $\psi+\bar\chi$ as

$$const\cdot k^\alpha_0\otimes k^\theta_0 = P_{\mathbb{C}k^\alpha_0}\psi\otimes k^\theta_0 + k^\alpha_0\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}k^\theta_0}\chi = \big(\psi(0)\|\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\|^{-2} + \overline{\chi(0)}\|\tilde{k}^\theta_0\|^{-2}\big)k^\alpha_0\otimes k^\theta_0.$$

It might be of independent interest to consider the case when the right hand side in the equation (6.1) reduces to a rank one operator $const\cdot\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\otimes\tilde{k}^\theta_0$. By equations (6.4) and Lemma 7.1 (1-2) and (5) this operator can be expressed in terms of the symbol $\psi+\bar\chi$ as

$$const\cdot\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\otimes\tilde{k}^\theta_0 = P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\alpha_0}\mu\otimes\tilde{k}^\theta_0 + \tilde{k}^\alpha_0\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}^\theta_0}\nu = \\ \Big(\overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}\|\tilde{k}^\alpha_0\|^{-2} + \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)(\psi(0) - \overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)}\|\tilde{k}^\theta_0\|^{-2})\Big)\ \tilde{k}^\alpha_0\otimes\tilde{k}^\theta_0.$$

A similar question can be asked regarding the case when the right hand side of the equation (6.1) reduces to a rank one operator $const \cdot \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\alpha$. By equations (6.4), Proposition 2.2 (4) and Lemma 7.1 (3), (5) and (6) we have

$$const \cdot \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\alpha = P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}_0^\alpha}\mu \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\alpha + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes P_{\mathbb{C}\tilde{k}_0^\alpha}\nu = \left(\overline{\chi(0)} - \overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)}|\alpha(0)|^2 + \psi(0)\right) \|k_0^\alpha\|^{-2}\ \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\alpha.$$

8. An inverse problem: from the operator to the symbol

In the case of a classical Toeplitz operator T_φ on H^2, the (unique) symbol φ can be obtained from the operator by the formula $\lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{z}^n T_\varphi z^n$. In the case of a truncated Toeplitz operator, i.e., of the form $A_\varphi^{\alpha,\theta}$ with $\alpha = \theta$, one can obtain a symbol belonging to $H^2 + \overline{H^2}$ from the action of A_φ^θ on k_0^θ and $\tilde{k}_0^\theta$ ([3]). A similar result can be obtained for an asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$ by considering the action of the operator A and its adjoint on reproducing kernel functions of the same kind.

Note firstly that if A has a symbol $\psi + \bar{\chi}$ with $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$, $\chi \in K_\theta^2$, writing $\chi = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\chi_\alpha$, where $\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ and $\chi_\alpha \in K_\alpha^2$, then by Proposition 3.6 we have the following equations on L^2:

$$\begin{cases} \psi - \overline{\theta(0)}\alpha\bar{\chi}_\alpha &= Ak_0^\theta - \overline{\chi(0)}k_0^\alpha + \overline{\theta(0)\chi_\alpha(0)}\alpha \\ -\theta(0)\bar{z}\psi + \bar{z}\alpha\bar{\chi}_\alpha &= A\tilde{k}_0^\theta - \bar{z}\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\alpha. \end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

Taking the scalar product with k_0^α and $\tilde{k}_0^\alpha$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \psi(0) + \overline{\theta(0)}\alpha(0)\ \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)} + \|k_0^\alpha\|^2\ \overline{\chi(0)} = \langle Ak_0^\theta, k_0^\alpha\rangle \\ \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\,\psi(0) + \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)} = \langle A\tilde{k}_0^\theta, \tilde{k}_0^\alpha\rangle \end{cases} \tag{8.2}$$

and, by using the notation $a = \psi(0)$, $b = \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}$, $c = \overline{\chi(0)}$ in (8.2), we obtain the following system of equations for the unknowns a, b, c:

$$\begin{cases} a + \overline{\theta(0)}\alpha(0)\ b + \|k_0^\alpha\|^2\ c = \langle Ak_0^\theta, k_0^\alpha\rangle \\ -\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\,a + b = \langle A\tilde{k}_0^\theta, \tilde{k}_0^\alpha\rangle. \end{cases} \tag{8.3}$$

Hence we proved the following.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$, $A = A_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$ where $\psi \in K_\alpha^2$, $\chi \in K_\theta^2$ and $\chi = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\chi_\alpha$, with $\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \in K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ and $\chi_\alpha \in K_\alpha^2$. Then the values $\psi(0)$, $\overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}$, $\overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)}$ and $\overline{\chi(0)} = \overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)} + \overline{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\ \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}$ can be determined from $\langle Ak_0^\theta, k_0^\alpha\rangle$, $\langle A\tilde{k}_0^\theta, \tilde{k}_0^\alpha\rangle$ as a solution to the system (8.3). The solution is unique if we fix a concrete value of $\psi(0)$ or $\chi(0)$.*

Remark that by Corollary 4.5 we can always choose a concrete value of one of $\psi(0)$ or $\chi(0)$.

From equations (8.1) we cannot obtain $\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$, hence we need another equality, which we formulate using Proposition 3.6 (5-6) and applying C_θ. We get

$$C_\theta(A_{\bar{\psi}}^{\alpha,\theta}k_0^\alpha) = \psi(0)\tilde{k}_0^\theta - \alpha(0)(\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{z}\psi - \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\psi(0)\bar{z}),$$

and by Proposition 2.3

$$C_\theta(A^{\alpha,\theta}_\chi k_0^\alpha) = \alpha\bar{z}\bar{\chi}_\alpha + \theta\bar{z}\bar{\chi}_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \alpha(0)\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{z}\bar{\chi}_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}.$$

The operator A must satisfy the condition

$$-\alpha(0)\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{z}\psi + \alpha\bar{z}\bar{\chi}_\alpha + (\alpha-\alpha(0))\bar{z}\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{\chi}_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = C_\theta A^* k_0^\alpha - \psi(0)\bar{z}\theta. \tag{8.4}$$

To obtain a symbol for the operator A, we take the analytic functions $\psi = X$, $\alpha\bar{\chi}_\alpha = Y$ and $\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{\chi}_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = Z$ as unknowns, and substitute $a = \psi(0)$, $b = \overline{\chi_\alpha(0)}$, $c = \overline{\chi(0)}$. Then from (8.1), (8.4) we get the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} X - \overline{\theta(0)}\, Y & = A k_0^\theta - c\, k_0^\alpha + b\,\overline{\theta(0)}\,\alpha, \\ -\theta(0)\, X + Y & = z\, A\tilde{k}_0^\theta - \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\, a\,\alpha, \\ -\alpha(0)\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\, X + Y + (\alpha-\alpha(0))\, Z & = z\, C_\theta A^* k_0^\alpha - a\,\theta. \end{cases} \tag{8.5}$$

Note that the determinant of the matrix of the coefficients of the system (8.5) is $\|k_0^\theta\|^2(\alpha-\alpha(0)) \neq 0$. Hence the solution of the system (8.5) is unique.

We have thus the following.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ be nonconstant inner functions and let $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta,\alpha)$. Suppose that a certain value is given for either $\psi(0)$ or $\chi(0)$, and let a, b, c be the corresponding solutions to (8.3). If X, Y, Z satisfy the linear system (8.5), then $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$, where $\psi = X$ and $\chi = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{Z} + \theta\bar{Y}$.*

Proof. If A has the symbol $\psi+\bar{\chi}$ with fixed value $\psi(0)$ or $\chi(0)$, then ψ and χ are uniquely determined. Since, as shown above, $X = \psi$, $Y = \alpha\bar{\chi}_\alpha$, $Z = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\bar{\chi}_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ satisfy the system (8.5), the result follows from the uniqueness of the solution to that system. □

The characterizations of asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators in terms of operators of rank two at most, obtained in prevoius sections, allow us also to obtain a symbol for the operator.

In fact, regarding the first characterization, it follows from the proof of Theorem 5.1 that, if A is a bounded operator and satisfies the equality (5.1), then $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$. Remark that by Corollary 4.5 we know that ψ and χ are not unique and we can adjust the value of either ψ or χ at the origin.

For $\alpha = \theta$ the characterization (6.1) of truncated Toeplitz operators in Theorem 6.1 reduces to Sarason's ([21, Remark, p. 501]). In that case the relation between ψ, χ in the symbol of $A^\theta_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$ and μ, ν is given by the conjugation C_θ, namely $\mu = C_\theta\chi$ and $\nu = C_\theta\psi$. Thus one can also immediately associate a symbol of the form $\psi+\bar{\chi}$ to a truncated Toeplitz operator satisfying that equality. In the asymmetric case, however, Theorem 6.1 unveils a more complex connection between the rank-two operator on the right-hand side of (6.1) and the symbols of $A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$, and finding a symbol in terms of μ and ν for an operator A satisfying equality (6.1) is more difficult.

To solve that problem in the case of asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators we start with two auxiliary results.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$, $\chi \in K^2_\theta$. Assume that $\chi = \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}\chi_\alpha$ according to the decomposition $K^2_\theta = K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \oplus \frac{\theta}{\alpha}K^2_\alpha$. If*

$$\mu = C_\alpha P_\alpha(\tfrac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}\chi) + \bar b\tilde k_0^\alpha, \quad \nu = C_\alpha\psi + S^*(\alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\chi) - b\tilde k_0^\theta \tag{8.6}$$

for fixed $b \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= C_\alpha\nu_\alpha - \big(\overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)} - \bar b\,\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\big)k_0^\alpha, \\ \chi_\alpha &= C_\alpha\mu - bk_0^\alpha, \quad \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \big(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - b\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\big)k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

where $\nu = \nu_\alpha + \alpha\nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ according to the decomposition $K^2_\theta = K^2_\alpha \oplus \alpha K^2_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$.

Proof. Let us calculate using Proposition 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha(S^*(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}})) &= P_\alpha(\bar z(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0))) = P_\alpha(\bar z\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) \\ &= \alpha P^-\bar\alpha(\bar z\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\bar z \\ &= \alpha P^-(\bar z\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\bar z = (\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0))\bar z \\ &= \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\tilde k_0^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $C_\alpha\psi \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\tilde k_0^\theta = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\tilde k_0^\alpha + \alpha\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ (Proposition 2.2), we have

$$P_\alpha\nu = C_\alpha\psi + \big(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - b\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\big)\tilde k_0^\alpha,$$

and thus

$$\psi = C_\alpha P_\alpha\nu - C_\alpha\big((\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - b\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0))\tilde k_0^\alpha\big) = C_\alpha\nu_\alpha - \big(\overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)} - \bar b\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\big)k_0^\alpha. \tag{8.8}$$

Now let us consider χ. Since $\mu = P_\alpha C_\alpha(\chi\frac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}) + \bar b\tilde k_0^\alpha = C_\alpha\chi_\alpha + \bar b\tilde k_0^\alpha$, then

$$\chi_\alpha = C_\alpha(\mu - \bar b\tilde k_0^\alpha) = C_\alpha\mu - bk_0^\alpha. \tag{8.9}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} =& P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar\alpha\nu) = P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar\alpha S^*(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) - b\bar\alpha\tilde k_0^\theta) = P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar\alpha\bar z(\alpha\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0))) - b\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \\ =& P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar z\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) - \alpha(0)\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar\alpha\bar z) - b\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar z\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) - b\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \\ =& \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}P^-(\tfrac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}\bar z\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) - P^-(\bar z\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) - b\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = \bar z\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \bar z\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - b\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = S^*_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - b\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

since $\frac{\bar\theta}{\bar\alpha}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \perp H^2$. Hence, using a proper defect operator and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = (S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}S^*_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\otimes k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}})\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} &= S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}S^*_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + (k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\otimes k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}})\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \\ S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + bS_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\tilde k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} &= \big(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0) - b\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\big)k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

□

Lemma 8.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$ satisfy the equation*

$$A - S_\alpha^* A S_\theta = \mu \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \nu$$

for $\mu \in K_\alpha^2$, $\nu \in K_\theta^2$. Then μ and ν can be chosen such that $P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}\nu)$ is orthogonal to $\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$. In this case, μ and ν are uniquely determined.

Proof. According to the decomposition $K_\theta^2 = K_\alpha^2 \oplus \alpha K_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}^2$ we can write $\nu = P_\alpha \nu + \alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha}\nu = \nu_\alpha + \alpha \nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$. By Proposition 2.2 recall that $\tilde{k}_0^\theta = \frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\tilde{k}_0^\alpha + \alpha \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$. Let us define

$$\mu' = \mu + \|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2} \overline{\langle \nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \rangle} \tilde{k}_0^\alpha, \quad \nu' = \nu - \|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2} \langle \nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \rangle \tilde{k}_0^\theta$$

(see (6.8)). We have

$$A - S_\alpha^* A S_\theta = \mu' \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \nu', \qquad \mu' \in K_\alpha^2, \quad \nu' \in K_\theta^2.$$

Moreover, $\nu'_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$ is orthogonal to $\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$, since

$$\langle \nu'_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \rangle = \langle \nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2} \langle \nu_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \rangle \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \rangle = 0.$$

To show uniqueness it is enough to note that $\mu \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \nu = 0$ if and only if $\mu = 0$ and $\nu = 0$. Assume then that $\mu \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \nu = 0$, which is equivalent to $\mu = c_1 \tilde{k}_0^\alpha$, $\nu = c_2 \tilde{k}_0^\theta$ and $c_1 + \bar{c}_2 = 0$ for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then by Proposition 2.2 (4)

$$P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha}\nu = c_2 P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha} \tilde{k}_0^\theta = c_2 \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}.$$

Since $P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha}\nu \perp \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$, we obtain that $c_2 = 0$, which implies $c_1 = 0$. Hence $\mu = 0$ and $\nu = 0$. □

When investigating symbols of the asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator, it is worth to have in mind Corollary 4.5 saying that it is enough to find one of them.

Theorem 8.5. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leqslant \theta$ and let A be a bounded operator satisfying*

$$A - S_\alpha^* A S_\theta = \mu \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta + \tilde{k}_0^\alpha \otimes \nu \tag{8.12}$$

for $\mu \in K_\alpha^2$, $\nu \in K_\theta^2$. Then $A = A_{\psi + \bar{\chi}}^{\theta, \alpha}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi =& C_\alpha P_\alpha(\nu - c\tilde{k}_0^\theta) = C_\alpha P_\alpha \nu - \bar{c}\,\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)} k_0^\alpha \in K_\alpha^2 \quad \textit{and} \\
\chi =& S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha}(\nu - c\,\tilde{k}_0^\theta) + \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha} C_\alpha(\mu + \bar{c}\tilde{k}_0^\alpha) \\
=& (S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha}\nu + c\,\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0) k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}) + \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(C_\alpha \mu + c\,k_0^\alpha) \in K_\theta^2 = K_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}^2 \oplus \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha} K_\alpha^2 \quad \textit{with} \\
c =& \langle P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha}\nu, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} \rangle \|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\mu_0 = \mu + \bar{c}\,\tilde{k}_0^{\alpha}$, $\nu_0 = \nu - c\,\tilde{k}_0^{\theta}$ with $c = \langle P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\bar{\alpha}\nu, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\rangle \|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2}$. We have $P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}\nu_0) \perp \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$, and (8.12) is also satisfied, i.e.,

$$A - S_\alpha^* A S_\theta = \mu_0 \otimes \tilde{k}_0^{\theta} + \tilde{k}_0^{\alpha} \otimes \nu_0. \tag{8.13}$$

On the other hand, $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$ so $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi+\bar{\chi}}$ with $\psi \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\chi \in K^2_\theta$ and, by the first part of the proof of Theorem 6.1, especially (6.4), and (6.8), there are also $\mu' = C_\alpha P_\alpha(\chi\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\bar{\alpha}}) + \bar{b}\tilde{k}_0^{\alpha} \in K^2_\alpha$ and $\nu' = C_\alpha\psi + S^*_\theta(\alpha P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\chi) - b\tilde{k}_0^{\theta} \in K^2_\theta$ $(b \in \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$A - S_\alpha^* A S_\theta = \mu' \otimes \tilde{k}_0^{\theta} + \tilde{k}_0^{\alpha} \otimes \nu'. \tag{8.14}$$

Take

$$b = -\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\ \overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\ \|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2},$$

where $\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} = P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\chi$. Using calculations as in (8.10), we have $P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}(\nu' - b\,\tilde{k}_0^{\theta})) \perp \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}$, because

$$\begin{aligned}\langle P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\bar{\alpha}(\nu' - b\,\tilde{k}_0^{\theta}), \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\rangle &= \langle S^*\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\rangle - b\langle P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\bar{\alpha}\tilde{k}_0^{\theta}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{z}(\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} - \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)), \bar{z}(\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha} - \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0))\rangle - b\langle \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}, \tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\rangle = -\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)} - b\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^2 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 8.4, $\mu_0 = \mu'$ and $\nu_0 = \nu'$. On the other hand, by Lemma 8.3

$$\psi = C_\alpha P_\alpha \nu_0 - \big(\overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)} + \overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)}|\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)|^2\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2}\big)k_0^{\alpha} = C_\alpha P_\alpha \nu_0 - \overline{\chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)}\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2}k_0^{\alpha}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}\nu_0) + \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\big(1 + \tfrac{\left|\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)\right|^2}{\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^2}\big)k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\big(C_\alpha\mu_0 + \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\tfrac{\overline{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}}{\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^2}k_0^{\alpha}\big) \\ &= S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}\nu_0) + \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2}k_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}} + \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}\big(C_\alpha\mu_0 + \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\overline{\tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}(0)}\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2}k_0^{\alpha}\big) \\ &= S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}\nu_0) + \tfrac{\theta}{\alpha}C_\alpha\mu_0 + \chi_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(0)\,\|\tilde{k}_0^{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}\|^{-2}\,k_0^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking Corollary 4.5 into account, we conclude that $A = A^{\theta,\alpha}_{\psi'+\overline{\chi'}}$ with $\psi' = C_\alpha P_\alpha \nu_0 = C_\alpha P_\alpha(\nu - c\tilde{k}_0^{\theta})$ and $\chi' = S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}\nu_0) + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}C_\alpha\mu_0 = S_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}P_{\frac{\theta}{\alpha}}(\bar{\alpha}(\nu - c\tilde{k}_0^{\theta})) + \frac{\theta}{\alpha}C_\alpha(\mu + \bar{c}\tilde{k}_0^{\alpha})$. □

Acknowledgments. The work of the first author was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT/Portugal), through Project PEst-OE/EEI/LA0009/2013. The research of the third and the forth authors was financed by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Poland.

References

1. F. Andersson and M. Carlsson, *On General Domain Truncated Correlation and Convolution Operators with Finite Rank*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 82 (2015), 339370
2. T. Bckstrm, *Vandermonde Factorization of Toeplitz Matrices and Applications in Filtering and Warping*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Vol. 61, n. 24, 2013.

3. A. Baranov, I. Chalendar, E. Fricain, J. Mashreghi and A. Timotin, *Bounded symbols and reproducing kernels thesis for truncated Toeplitz operators.* J. Funct. Anal., 259, 2010, 2673–2701.
4. H. Bercovici, *Operator theory and aritmetic in H^∞.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs No. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Procidence, Rhode Island 1988.
5. M. C. Câmara, M. T. Malheiro and J. R. Partington, *Model spaces in reflexive Hardy spaces*, Operators and Matrices, to appear.
6. M. C. Câmara and J. R. Partington, *Near invariance and kernels of Toeplitz operators.* Journal d'Analyse Math. 124, 2014, 235-260.
7. M. C. Câmara and J. R. Partington, *Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators and Toeplitz operators with matrix symbol.* arXiv:1504.06446v1 [math.FA], 2015.
8. M. C. Câmara and J. R. Partington, *Spectral properties of truncated Toeplitz operators by equivalence after extension*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl., 433, 2016, 762-784.
9. I. Chalendar, P. Gorkin and J. R. Partington, *Inner functions and operator theory.* North-West. Eur. J. Math., 1, 2015, 722.
10. I. Chalendar and D. Timotin, *Commutation relations for truncated Toeplitz operators.* Oper. Matrices, 8, 2014, no. 3, 877888.
11. J. A. Cima, W. T. Ross and W. R. Wogen, *Truncated Toeplitz operators on finite dimensional spaces.* Oper. Matrices, 2, 2008, no. 3, 357369.
12. S. R. Garcia, J. Mashreghi and W. T. Ross, *Introduction to model spaces and their operators.*, preprint.
13. S. R. Garcia, M. Putinar, *Complex symmetric operators and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math.Soc., 358 (3), 2006, 1285-1315.
14. S. R. Garcia, M. Putinar, *Complex symmetric operators and applications II*, Trans. Amer. Math.Soc., 359 (8), 2007, 3913-3931.
15. S. R. Garcia and W. T. Ross, *Recent progress on truncated Toeplitz operators. Blaschke products and their applications.*, 275319, Fields Inst. Commun., 65, Springer, New York, 2013.
16. M. H. Gutknecht, *Stable row recurrences for the Pad table and generically superfast lookahead solvers for non-Hermitian Toeplitz systems*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 188189 (1993), 351-421.
17. G. Heinig and K. Rost, *Algebraic methods for Toeplitz-like matrices and operators*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1984
18. K. Kliś-Garlicka and M. Ptak, *C-symmetric operators and reflexivity*, Operators and Matrices, 9 (1), 2015, 225-232.
19. N. Nikol'skiĭ, *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
20. R. Adamczak, *On the Operator Norm of Random Rectangular Toeplitz Matrices*, High Dimensional Probability VI, vol. 66 of the series Progress in Probability (1993), 247-260.
21. D. Sarason *Algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators*, Operators and Matrices, 1 (4), 2007, 491-526.
22. F.-O. Speck, *General Wiener-Hopf factorization methods*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 119. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.

[1] Center for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry and Dynamical Systems, Mathematics Department, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049- 001 Lisboa, Portugal.
E-mail address: ccamara@math.ist.utl.pt

[2] Department of Mathematics Maria Curie-Skłodowska University 20-031 Lublin, Poland
E-mail address: Joanna.Blicharz@live.umcs.edu.pl

[3] Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Agriculture, ul. Balicka 253c,, 30-198 Kraków, Poland.
E-mail address: rmklis@cyfronet.pl

*Institute of Mathematics, Pedagogical University, ul. Podchorążych 2,, 30-084 Kraków, Poland.
E-mail address: rmptak@cyfronet.pl